

United States Patent and Trademark Office

non

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | IO. FI | LING DATE | FIRST NÁMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------|------------|---------------|----------------------|---|------------------|
| 09/754,450 | - | 01/04/2001 | Dustin Fasbender | 4662US(300-016) | 3636 |
| 4743 | 7590 | 02/12/2004 | ` | EXAMINER | |
| MARSH | IALL, GERS | STEIN & BORUN | NGUYEN, KIM T | | |
| | ARS TOWER | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 233 S. W | ACKER DRI | VE | | AKTONT | FAFER NOMBER |
| CHICAG | O, IL 6060 | 6 | | 3713 / 5 DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004 | |
| | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/754,450 | FASBENDER ET AL | | | | | |
| - | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Kim Nguyen | 3713 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addi | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper rep ch places the applic | oly to a cation in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th ian SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S | ee MPEP | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (| ension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | • | | | | | | |
| 2. $igtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | | |
| (a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I | below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | implifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clain | ns. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | I be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed | d amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NC | OT place the | | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>101-127</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | | | | | |
| 0. Other: | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Kim Nguyen Primary Examiner | / | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: the added limitation in independent claim 123 requires further consideration and search. Further, applicant's arguments on claims 101 and 112 are not persuasive. Refer to the office action issued on 11/25/2003.